

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

SHARON REID,

Plaintiff,

v.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES,  
LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-02151-APG-CWH

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION AND  
DISMISSING CASE**

On October 18, 2016, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered a report and recommendation in which he recommends I dismiss plaintiff Sharon Reid's complaint with prejudice because she has failed to participate in discovery despite multiple opportunities to do so. ECF No. 42. Reid did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

I nevertheless find that Judge Hoffman sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF No. 42) is accepted. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice.

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE